MR. SPEAKER:- What has happened to Mr.Venkatesh? 3-4 ದಿವಸದಿಂದ ಅವರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅವರ ವೇರ್ ಎಬೌಟ್ಸ್ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್‌ರವರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್:- ಶ್ರೀ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್‌ರವರು, ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವರಾಮೇಗೌಡರು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರೇ, ಯಾರನ್ನೂ ಬಿಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು:- ಬಸ್ ಬರುವುದು ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಅವತ್ತು ಹೇಳಿದರಿ, ಇನ್ನೂ ಆ ಬಸ್ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್:- ಅದು ಕಾಡು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಅವರು ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೊ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ;

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು:- ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಲಿಂಗರೆಡ್ಡಿ ಅವರು ಮತ್ತು ರೇವಣ್ಣ ನವರು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಖುಮರುಲ್ ಇಸ್ಲಾಂ ಅವರು 1706ನೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಬಹುದು.

ಕಾಜಾ ಬಂಡನವಾಜ ದರ್ಗಾದ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರು ದರ್ಗಾದ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆಯುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

1706 ಶ್ರೀ ಖುಮರುಲ್ ಇಸ್ಲಾಂ, (ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ):- ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ, ಇಂಧನ ಮತ್ತು ವಕ್ಫ ಸಚಿವರು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವರೇ.

ಅ) ಕಾಜಾ ಬಂಡನವಾಜ ದರ್ಗಾದ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರು ದರ್ಗಾದ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬರುವ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ;

ಆ) ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗಿದೆ;

ಇ) ಹಜರತ್ ಕಾಜಾ ಬಂಡನವಾಜಾ ದರ್ಗಾದ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರು ನಜ್ರಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ, ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರು ಸಾದಾತ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಶರೀಯತ್‌ನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಜ್ರಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ;

ಈ) ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ರಮವೇನು;

ಉ) ಈ ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ದರ್ಗಾದ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ "ಶರಿಯತ್"ನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಜ್ರಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ನೀಡುವುದೇ, ಹಾಗೂ ದರ್ಗಾದ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ನಜ್ರಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಂ. ಯೂಹ್ಯಾ (ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ, ಇಂಧನ ಮತ್ತು ವಕ್ಫ್ ಸಚಿವರು):-

ಅ) ದರ್ಗಾದ ನೌಕರಿಯು ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿರುವುದೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ ಅವರು ಮಾಲೀಕ ಹಾಗೂ ನೌಕರರ ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪರಂಪರೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ತಪ್ಪೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆ) ಇದು ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾದುದಲ್ಲ.

ಇ) ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ "ಉಲೇಮಾ" ಅವರು ನೀಡಿರುವ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಜ್ಜದಾ ನಷ್ಟೀನ್ "ಸಾದಾತ್" ಆಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ "ನಜ್ರಾನವನ್ನು" ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

ಈ) ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಉ) ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

SRI QAMARUL ISLAM:- The reply given is not correct. I can produce the document of the High Court of Hyderabad. The answer is that the Sajjade can remove Khuddameen. They are hereditary & doing services in the Dhargas.

This is a document in Urdu and it has been clearly mentioned therein that event he Sajjada has no right to remove. After the Land Reforms Act came into existence, we are having number of laws. Certain Inam Abolition Act come into existence which covers 104 acres and the rest of the land is vested to the Government on 1-1-1974 and the Government is giving the annuity. The Sajjada wanted that annuity and he is still taking this annuity which has to go to Khuddameen. I wanted to know whether the Government will enquire into this matter? The answer given is not correct. I can produce the document. Kindly enquire into the matter and do justice to them and I want an assurance from the Government that an enquiry will be ordered. I wanted to know what sort of enquiry that the Government is going to order. I once again request the Hon'ble Minister to see that justice is done to them and the matter will be enquired into as far as this Azadpur village is concerned since it has already been vested with the Government.

SRI S.M. YAHYA:- As the Hon'ble Member has rightly said that this matter has become very complicated because Inam Abolition Act, Land Reforms Acts and various other things are there. I quite ignorant about the present situation but we have taken, it may not be correct the employer-servant relation. That we have taken and on that count only we have answered. This is what we have taken. Sajjada is the supreme person who looks after the Dhargas & worship. Khuddameens are the persons who work under him. They are appointed by him but the traditions are such that they are hereditary also. So, what are their rights because in the changed circumstances, in the socio-economic changes we have, I personally do not know where to lay my hand. Anyhow, I will certainly enquire the matter.

SRI QAMARUL ISLAM:- The authority which has appointed Sajjada is the same authority which has appointed this Kuddumoens. I am very happy that you have said that you will enquire into the matter. The answer given to (c) hurts to feelings. I don't know how the Board has submitted this answer. Under Shariat law, that off-springs of the profit or the progeny of the profit, they cannot take. They cannot take the Nazrana but here the answer given is that the Shariat permits it. A simple mistake by ignorance has been made by our late Nazir Sab when he was the Minister with a good intention. He wanted to have a Board and the Cabinent has passed that order. Mr. Azeez sait and almost all have objected. I was a Member of the Muslim Law Board

of India and took it up with them. Mr.Shahabuddin was also a Member of that Board. I asked that the order that was passed in the Cabinent be withdrawn. You have requested the Members of the Board on the Floor of this House and on the Floor of the Council that they should withdraw because they have lost the confidence. Is it a political answer? because of your stature, if you go to the people, it will damage your reputation. This answer is not correct. I wanted you to say that Shariat does not prohibit to take Nazrana. The answer given is not correct. I wanted you to either withdraw this answer or correct it, to the effect that Shariat does not prohibit to take Nazrana.

SRI S.M. YAHYA:- Mr.President, The Hon'ble Member has dragged me to a highly sensitive area of interpretation of Shariat.

SRI D.B. CHANDRE GOWDA:- All those who presides are not Presidents. The Hon'ble Minister has called you (the Speaker) as President.

SRI S.M. YAHYA:- I correct it and it is not deliberate.

SRI D.B. CHANDRE GOWDA:- Now you can correct the answer also.

MR. SPEAKER:- I don't know whether the discourse on the interpretation of Shariat could be relevant in the question hour.

SRI S.M. YAHYA:- What I wanted to tell was that the Hon'ble Member has dragged me to a very very sensitive area and personally on the interpretation of Shariat, I am not competent. He may have much more knowledge. I would not like the Government or any Government to interpret the Shariat. It is above all. It is the religious law and we are not at all competent. The Ullamas and the learned religious personalities are the people who can interpret Shariat and I don't want the Government to interpret Shariat at all because we could not like to interfere in the law of Muslims, the law of Shariat. This is a very clear Government's view that in matters of Shariat, the Government would never never like to interfere. It is a matter for the community to decide. That is one aspect. Whether Sadat can get Nazrana or not, the Government would not interpret. I and you, as a Member of the community can sit with Ullamas and decide. No Government can interfere in all other matters of Shariat which we could not like to have.

About Wakf Board, since it has lost the image, I have only made an appeal to them to step down and it is for them to decide. In the interest of the community, the members of the Wakf Board should step down because we are accountable. Whatever the Wakf Board does, we are accountable; The Government is accountable; The Members who got elected, they are accountable. Therefore I have used this House to appeal to the Members without any excuse that they should step down because the Government is

accountable and in order to see that the community should not suffer, they should step down.

SRI QAMRUAL ISLAM:- You correct this answer. This answer permits them. I don't want permission should be given to them till the matter of Shariyat is clarified. I request you to please withheld this answer till the matter is clarified.

SRI S.M. YAHYA:- I have already said that absolutely I do not want to interfere in the matters of Shariyat. We could talk about this outside the House also. Let us not discuss about Shariyat here.

ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವದಾಸಿಯರಿಗೆ ಪುನರ್ ವಸತಿ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

1277 ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಭಾಷ್ ಜೋಶಿ (ನಿಪ್ಪಾಣಿ):- ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವರೆ?

ಅ) ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವದಾಸಿಯರ ಪುನರ್ ವಸತಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಹೊಸ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಿದೆಯೇ;

ಆ) ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ರೂಪಿಸಲಾಗುವ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳು ಯಾವುವು;

ಇ) ಯೋಜನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾದ ಹಣವೆಷ್ಟು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಶಿವಣ್ಣ (ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಸಚಿವರು):-

ಅ) ದೇವಾದಾಸಿಯರ ಪುನರ್ ವಸತಿಗಾಗಿ ಹೊಸ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ : ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮದ ಜೊತೆ ವ್ಯವಹರಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಆ) ಇನ್ನೂ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಇ) ಸರ್ಕಾರವು 1989-90ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವಾದಾಸಿಯರ ಪುನರ್ ವಸತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮಕ್ಕೆ ರೂ 15.00 ಲಕ್ಷ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

SRI SUBHASH JOSHI (Nippani) :- Sir, the Government have replied to my question (b), 'The formulation of Scheme is under process'. I would like to know, when this process would be completed. Secondly Sir, I would like to know, whether there are any preventive schemes for non-dedication of Devadasis. The dedications are going on. Therefore, some preventive schemes are required to be taken up and the preventive schemes should be such that there will be total stoppage of dedication. Sir, this is a very sensitive issue and I do not want a reply from the Government that they would examine this. I want concrete steps to be taken up by the Government for rehabilitation of Devadasis. Thirdly Sir, on 2nd August 1990, the Hon.Minister for Social Welfare, Maharashtra State is coming to Karnataka to meet his counterpart here. Whether you are going to have a joint venture on this?